Legaloa

DIAGNOSTIC RESOURCE · 2026

The 15 Institutional Red Flags *Checklist*™

How to Spot Governance, Procurement, Accountability, and Operational Risks That Become Expensive Problems

A practical diagnostic tool for leaders, governance officers, compliance teams, and institutional advisors who want to identify hidden organizational weaknesses before they escalate into operational, integrity, or reputational crises.

Legaloa · Governance. Integrity. Digital Transformation.
An expert advisory for governments, development organizations, and institutions.

LEGALOA.COM

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

How to Use This *Checklist*

This checklist covers five institutional risk categories. For each red flag, mark the box if the statement describes a recurring or persistent condition in your organization—not a one-time exception. Count your total and match it to the risk band below. Use this as a starting point for a structured governance review, not as a final audit.

Instructions

1. Read each red flag statement carefully.
2. Mark the checkbox only if the condition is recurring or persistent—not isolated.
3. Complete all five categories before tallying your score.
4. Match your total to the risk band table below.
5. Share results with your governance or compliance team to prioritize action areas.

SCORING

0 – 3

STABLE — MONITOR

Managing well across most dimensions. Continue monitoring flagged areas and conduct a full governance review annually.

4 – 7

NEEDS ATTENTION

Moderate institutional risk present. Specific governance or operational gaps require structured attention within 60–90 days.

8 – 11

HIGH INSTITUTIONAL RISK

Significant vulnerabilities across multiple categories. Systemic intervention is needed. A Legaloa governance diagnostic is strongly recommended.

12 – 15

URGENT REVIEW REQUIRED

Critical governance, compliance, or integrity gaps present. Immediate structured review and remediation planning is recommended.

A

CATEGORY A · RED FLAGS 1–3

Governance & Accountability

☐ 01 Key decisions are regularly made without a documented process, defined authority, or traceable rationale.

WHY IT MATTERS

Undocumented decision-making creates accountability vacuums. When things go wrong, there is no paper trail to learn from, investigate, or correct—making institutional improvement nearly impossible.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Identify your five most common decision types. Map who currently decides, who should decide, and what documentation is expected. Flag gaps to leadership.

☐ 02 Oversight roles—board, audit committee, senior leadership—function more as approval stamps than genuine accountability mechanisms.

WHY IT MATTERS

Weak oversight enables mission drift, resource misuse, and unchecked power. Rubber-stamp governance is one of the strongest predictors of institutional failure in public and development-sector organizations.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Review the last three board or oversight meetings. Count items questioned, deferred, or returned for revision. If the number is zero, this warrants immediate structural review.

☐ *03* There is no functioning mechanism for staff, partners, or beneficiaries to raise concerns without fear of retaliation.

WHY IT MATTERS

Organizations without safe reporting channels suppress early warning signals. Fraud, abuse, and failures often go unreported until the damage is severe—because no safe channel existed to surface them sooner.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Ask your team: "If you observed something wrong, how would you report it, and to whom?" If no one can answer confidently, you have a structural gap to address.

B

CATEGORY B · RED FLAGS 4–6

Procurement & Integrity

☐ 04 Procurement decisions are regularly concentrated in one person or unit, with limited independent review or separation of duties.

WHY IT MATTERS

Concentrated procurement authority is the most common structural precondition for corruption. Separation of duties is not bureaucracy—it is basic integrity architecture.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Map your procurement cycle from need identification to payment approval. Identify every step where one person controls two or more stages without independent review.

☐ 05 Vendor selection criteria are not publicly documented or consistently applied, and the same vendors win repeatedly without clear justification.

WHY IT MATTERS

Non-transparent vendor selection is a primary driver of procurement fraud. Repeated awards to the same vendors without documented rationale signal institutional capture or inadequate competition.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Pull your last 12 months of procurement awards. Identify vendors appearing more than twice. For each, confirm the selection rationale is documented and reviewable.

☐ *06* Conflict-of-interest policies exist on paper but are not consistently disclosed, enforced, or monitored in procurement and hiring decisions.

WHY IT MATTERS

Paper policies without enforcement are not safeguards—they are liability shields that fail under scrutiny. Undisclosed conflicts are among the most frequently cited triggers of integrity investigations.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Review your last five procurement decisions involving internal staff recommendations. Confirm written conflict-of-interest disclosures exist for each. If not, initiate a disclosure protocol immediately.

C

CATEGORY C · RED FLAGS 7–9

Compliance & Internal Controls

☐ *07* Your organization does not have a current, operational compliance calendar that tracks regulatory obligations, license renewals, and reporting deadlines.

WHY IT MATTERS

Compliance failures are rarely intentional. They happen when regulatory obligations are tracked informally or not at all. Missed deadlines create compounding legal and reputational exposure.

ACTION THIS WEEK

List all recurring regulatory, contractual, and statutory obligations. Assign ownership and deadline tracking to a single accountable person or system.

☐ *08* Internal audit findings are regularly noted but not systematically acted on, and repeat findings appear across consecutive review cycles.

WHY IT MATTERS

Repeat audit findings signal institutional dysfunction—indicating either that root causes were never addressed, or that accountability for implementation does not exist.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Compare your last two internal audit reports. Flag every repeat finding. Identify who was responsible for addressing it and what action was taken. Escalate unresolved repeats to senior leadership.

☐ *09* Data privacy obligations—including data handling, consent, retention, and breach response—are not formalized, documented, or consistently practiced.

WHY IT MATTERS

Institutions handling personal data without documented privacy protocols carry growing regulatory and reputational risk. In the Philippines, the Data Privacy Act imposes direct liability on organizations and officers.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Identify all personal data your organization holds. Confirm you have a documented privacy policy, data retention schedule, and breach response protocol—even in basic form.

D

CATEGORY D · RED FLAGS 10–12

Workflows & Information Flow

☐ 10 Critical operational knowledge—processes, contacts, institutional history—is held by specific individuals rather than documented in accessible systems.

WHY IT MATTERS

Institutional knowledge concentrated in people rather than systems creates severe continuity risk. When key staff leave or become unavailable, operational capability collapses—often at the worst possible moment.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Identify three to five people whose departure would immediately disrupt operations. Assess what knowledge they hold that is not documented anywhere accessible. Begin a knowledge capture process.

☐ 11 Information does not move reliably between departments, teams, or leadership levels—resulting in duplicated work, missed handoffs, or contradictory decisions.

WHY IT MATTERS

Fragmented information flows compound every other governance problem. They prevent informed decisions, disrupt coordination, and create systemic operational risk across the institution.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Trace a recent operational failure to its source. Identify the specific point where information stopped moving correctly. That point is likely a structural workflow gap that will recur.

☐ *12* Approvals, sign-offs, and institutional decisions are routed through informal channels—chat apps, verbal agreements, or personal relationships—rather than formal documented processes.

WHY IT MATTERS

Informal approval chains create accountability gaps, complicate audits, and enable bypassing of institutional controls. What cannot be documented cannot be effectively governed.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Select one high-stakes approval process. Map every channel through which approvals flow. Identify all informal channels and assess whether those approvals are being recorded anywhere.

E

CATEGORY E · RED FLAGS 13–15

Digital Readiness & Institutional Resilience

☐ 13 Your institution has no defined framework governing how AI tools, automation systems, or digital platforms are evaluated, approved, or monitored for use.

WHY IT MATTERS

AI adoption without governance frameworks creates uncontrolled risk across data privacy, bias, accountability, and operational integrity. Ungoverned AI use accumulates hidden liability faster than most leadership teams recognize.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Survey tools your team currently uses. Identify any AI-powered tools—including productivity software with embedded AI. Flag any that process institutional or personal data without documented approval.

☐ 14 The institution has no documented business continuity or disaster recovery plan, and has not tested its operational resilience in the past 24 months.

WHY IT MATTERS

Institutions without tested continuity plans discover gaps during crises—when recovery costs are highest and stakeholder confidence is most fragile. Operational resilience is a governance issue, not just an IT issue.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Identify your three most operationally critical functions. For each: if unavailable for 72 hours, what happens? Who is responsible for recovery? If you cannot answer, you have a continuity gap.

☐ *15* Digital transformation projects have been initiated but have stalled, been abandoned, or produced tools that staff do not use—without a structured review of why.

WHY IT MATTERS

Failed digital initiatives signal a deeper governance problem: inadequate change management, insufficient stakeholder engagement, or failure to align technology with actual operational needs before implementation.

ACTION THIS WEEK

Identify one digital initiative from the past three years that underdelivered. Conduct a brief post-mortem. Document the root cause—technology, governance, training, or design? That cause likely applies elsewhere.

READY TO STRENGTHEN YOUR SYSTEMS?

If Your Organization Triggered *4 or More* Red Flags

There may be governance, procurement, compliance, accountability, or operational risks that warrant closer review. Legaloa helps institutions identify root causes, prioritize interventions, and design practical solutions that strengthen governance, integrity, and operational effectiveness.

WHAT A STRATEGY CONSULTATION COVERS

- → Review of your highest-priority red flags
- → Identification of root causes, not just symptoms
- → Practical, sequenced recommendations for your context
- → Assessment of whether a deeper engagement is warranted

Book directly:

calendly.com/alelcayanan/symplify-systems-call

Or write to:

lel.cayanan@legaloa.com

INSTITUTIONS.